

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/746,744	ROGERS, STEVEN A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(3) _____.

(2) David Rouille (Reg. No. 40,150).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007

Time: 10:00 EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 25, 28, and 39

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 4-30-07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the claims as amended in the RCE would still be rejected and proposed adding more detail about how the schedule is created, specifically, the use of the heartbeat packets to synchronize the interval and determine the schedule of a given flow. Applicant proposed claim language to this effect which is included with the attached Examiner's Amendment..